Members' Representation

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM (Kolar Gold Fields).—Sir, may I put my question ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The rules are changed and you cannot put it now.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—I entered the House before the question hour was over.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If all the questions are over and if time permits I can allow you to put your question. If the whole question hour is over and the member who ought to be present was absent, I cannot help.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Another submission is that we are not adopting proper procedure with regard to the questions. We will not be knowing beforehand as to what questions will appear on each day. When we enter the House these questions are given to us. On the other hand if we are given this a day previously we can come prepared and also in time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member knows that the question lists are being put in the pigeon hole a day before and questions and replies two hours before the commencement of the session.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—In regard to the question hour, we must be having more scope. Yesterday we did not have question hour. I think it is very valuable that we should have question hour everyday.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has been discussed yesterday.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Was it because the Government are not alert and could not furnish replies ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Government are alert and they have given replies in time.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—I understand that this Assembly is to be prorogued on the 4th of next month. We would like to have sufficient notice of it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a wrong information.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY (Coondapur).—Sir, we have hardly sufficient time for discussing all the demands. We, on this side, and the Whip of the other side discussed this question and came to a conclusion that a certain amount of time should be allotted for discussion of each demand. We find from the speeches here that we have not be been able to keep up to the time. For example we have been discussing the demand of Agriculture for more than two days. We thought that it may be over within two days. Very important demands may go without being discussed in this House. I suggest that some arrangements may be made to discuss as many demands as possible. I suggest that we may sit on Sunday also because we have lost a lot of time and we will be losing sufficient opportunity to discuss other demands. I have already put this suggestion before the Whip on the other side and he has promised to consider this matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We will take up this question at the Tea time.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಯ್ಯ (ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣ).—ನಮ್ಮ ನಾಯಕರೂ ಮತ್ತು ನಾನೂ, ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರು ಮತ್ತು Whip ಇವರೊಡನೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ದಿವ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳಮೇರೆ ಎರಡೆರಡುದಿನ ಚರ್ಚೆನಡೆಯಬಹುದೆಂದೂ, ಉಳಿದವುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಒಂದೇ ದಿನದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಒಂದು ಏರ್ಪಾಡನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡೆವು. ಅದೂ ಕೂಡ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ವ್ಯವಸಾಯದ ಬೇಡಿಕೆಯಮೇರೆ ಮೂರು ದಿನಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳೆದಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇನ್ನು Industries, Civil Works, ಮುಂತಾದ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ದಿವ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಂದೊಂದು ದಿವಸ ಕೂಡ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಈ ದಿವಸವಾದರೂ ಬೇಗ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬೇರೆ ದಿವ್ಯಾಂಡುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿತ್ತು.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS (Hunsur).—As agreed to between ourselves and the Opposition side, this demand should have gone on for two days. So also in the case of Medical and Public Health, which should have been discussed for one day. Because a large number of persons on both sides wanted to participate, that was dragged on for the second day. Another holiday intervened in the middle. The opposition side and we also wanted a holiday on the Holy Day. The schedule had therefore to be upset on account of these things. So far as agriculture and other demands are concerned, it was agreed that they should be discussed for two days. This happens to be the third day. I came and represented to you, Sir, that this might be discussed today also; because I could not find the Opposition

(SRI D. DEVARAJ URS)

Leader or the Whip on the other side, I took upon myself the responsibility that this might go on this day also. Sri Veerappa was present here. I am sorry he is not present today. Some of the members on the opposition side wanted this demand to go on for today. Sir, many important demands are clubbed together with agriculture and a very large number of members here come from the rural areas. In fact, agriculture being the mainstay of the country many people wanted to participate. Of course the responsibility is ours mainly.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—We have sufficiently discussed about Agriculture and other subjects which were clubbed together, and we have no objection to reply. If the House agrees other demands may be taken up after the reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is another difficulty. Today, as the Order of the Day stands, the only demands which have been put are 40, 63-B, 41, 42 and 10. The difficulty will be, if the reply comes from the Government in the course of the next two hours, we will have to rise early. I cannot take up other subjects because, they are not in the agenda. I have no objection to rise.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—May I bring to the notice of the Speaker that according to the list given to us, under 'Item No. 3 Financial, Voting of demands for grants for the year 1959-60,' 29 Civil Works has to be taken up today.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is a revised list.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—We have not received the revised list.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It has been put yesterday after you left.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, how can you change what is already in the agenda ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—You cannot question me like that. I can change it. But I do not want to change anything. I do not want to change it on my own account. It was expected that I should take up these demands today.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—If agricultural demand has been put on the agenda today, now in the middle of the day, how can it be changed ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not doing so.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ (ಹರಿಹರ).—ಸಭಾನಾಯಕರೂ ವಿರೋಧ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರೂ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಬಹುದೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿರುವಾಗ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಕೂಡ ಅದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬೇರೆ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. My opinion is when the Leader of the House and the Leader of the Opposition and the Whips have agreed on the agenda, it is not a good precedent to go against it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have already made myself clear by saying that I have absolutely no objection to the Government giving a reply. I can only say we will have to rise by 4-15 or 4-30, after the reply the business of the day will be over and we will have to rise.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—It was not our intention that if there is time, we should not discuss.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But practically it comes to that.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—But that was not my intention. We have not had sufficient time to discuss. Ultimately we may have to guillotine all the demands except one or two.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am agreeable to one thing. If the Ministers reply, the members' chance to take part in the debate will be lost. But I am willing to consider whether we can sit on Sunday. If the Leader of the House and the Leader of the Opposition and the Whips on either side come to an agreement, then we can sit on Sunday morning.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Mysore).—I suggest that this matter may be discussed in your chambers during tea recess and a decision arrived it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I agree.

PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table of the House Notification No. HD. 104 MVT 58, dated 21st/23rd February 1959